Telephone:0414565100/56 5119 Fax:0414-565121 E-mail:

ps.mod@defence.go.ug defenceps@utlonline.co.ug

In any correspondence on this subject please quote No LOG/M3/113/01



THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA

Ministry of Veteran Affairs,

Defence&

P.O. Box 3798,

Kampala,

Uganda.

## Best Evaluated Bidder Notice (For Procurement and Disposal Notice Board)

The bidder named below has been evaluated as the best evaluated bidder for the procurement requirement detailed below. It is the intention of the Procuring and Disposing Entity to place a contract with the bidder named after ten working days from the date for display given below.

Procurement Reference No:	MD/SUPLS/18-19/00113
Subject of Procurement:	Procurement of Accommodation Items Under Framework Contractual Arrangement For Eighteen (18) Calendar Months
	Open Domestic Bidding.
Name of Best Evaluated Bidder:	Lot 1. Pullovers:  Ist Evaluated Bidder M/s. Southern Range Nyanza of P O Box 1025 Kampala  2nd Evaluated Bidder M/s Ariel Investment Ltd of P.O Box  Lot 2: Bed Sheets:  Ist Evaluated Bidder M/s. Southern Range Nyanza of P O Box 1025 Kampala  Lot 4: Mosquito Nets:  Ist Evaluated Bidder M/s. M/s Flyton (U) Ltd of P O Box 32589 Kampala.  Lot 6: Magazine Porches:  Ist Evaluated Bidder M/s. Bessel Trading Co. Ltd of P.O Box 72 Kampala.  Lot 7: Body Towels:  Ist Evaluated Bidder is M/s Southern Range Nyanza of P O Box 1025 Kampala
	> 2 <sup>nd</sup> Evaluated Bidder is M/s Interhemisphere Logistics Ltd

	3rd Evaluated Bidder is M/s Benis Limited
	Lot 8: Ear Muffs:
	> 1st Evaluated Bidder is M/s Maholo Investments
	Ltd Lot 9: Goggles:
	> 1st Evaluated Bidder is M/s Maholo Investments
	Ltd
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Evaluated Bidder is M/s Olifant (U) Ltd
	Lot 10: First Aid Kits:
	> 1st Evaluated Bidder is M/s Rima E.A Ltd
	Lot 11: Water Tanks:
	➤ 1st Evaluated Bidder is M/s. Nkuriza Co. Ltd of
	P.O Box 16 Kisoro-Uganda
	Lot 13: Fire Extinguishers & Refilling:
	➤ 1st Evaluated Bidder is M/s. Nkuriza Co. Ltd of P.O Box 16 Kisoro-Uganda
	1.0 box to tusoro ogunda
Total Contract Price:	Lot 1: Pullovers (287,760pcs)
	> 1st Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX
	70,000.Taxes Exempted
	2 <sup>nd</sup> Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 73,000. VAT Exclusive
	Lot 2: Bed Sheets ( $285,400$ pcs of size $3 \times 6$ and $6,000$ pcs of size $5 \times 6$ )
	> 1st Evaluated bidder of size 3 x 6 at Unit Price
	UGX 39,000 and size 5 x 6 at Unit Price UGX
	85,000.Taxes Exempted
	Lot 4: Mosquito Nets: (288,760pcs of green and white)
	➤ 1st Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 21,500
	VAT Exclusive
	Lot 6: Lot 6: Magazine Porches (28,580pcs)
	➤ 1 <sup>st</sup> Evaluated bidder Unit Price is UGX 48,500 VAT Inclusive
	Lot 7: Body Towels (287,760pcs)
	> 1st Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX
	34,000.Taxes Exempted
	➤ 2 <sup>nd</sup> Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 29,500.
	VAT Exclusive
	➤ 3 <sup>rd</sup> Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 40,020.

	VAT Exclusive
	Lot 8: Ear Muffs (23,980pcs)  > 1st Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 4,000 VAT Exclusive  Lot 9: Goggles (28,580pcs)  > 1st Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 29,500 VAT Exclusive  > 2nd Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 38,900. VAT Exclusive  Lot 10: First Aid Kits (285,400pcs)
	> 1st Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 42,400VAT Inclusive
	Lot 11: Water Tanks (1000,8000,5000,1000,500, & 200 Ltr Capacity)
	> 1st Evaluated bidder Unit Prices is at UGX 5,428,000, 4,012,000, 2,242,000, 542,800, 354,000 and 295,000 VAT Inclusive, respectively.
	Lot 13: Fire Extinguishers (900pcs & Refilling 1000)  > 1st Evaluated bidder Unit Price is at UGX 295,000 and 118,000 VAT Inclusive
Date for Display:	19th August, 2019
Date for Removal:	29th August 2019

## Unsuccessful bidders

No.	Name of bidder	Evaluation stage at which bid failed or was eliminated and reasons for elimination.
Lot 1	: Pullover	at Preliminary stage
1.	M/s Crown rock shield	<ul> <li>Manufacturer's Authorization had clause 28 for liquidated damages contrary to clause 29 which covers warranty and guarantee as a requirement in the solicitation document (see page 61 on GCC 29)</li> <li>Did not have a copy of PPDA registration certificate</li> <li>The copy of Powers of Attorney was not specific to this procurement. They were dated 12th March 2019 before the tender was advertised on April 18th 2019</li> <li>A copy of a Bid security submitted was Insurance Bond contrary to what was required under ITB 21.2 which required a Bank Guarantee in format indicated</li> </ul>

		in bidding document. (see page 34)
		<ul><li>No similar experience of pullovers.</li></ul>
		<ul> <li>Did not commit on 5 % performance security as it</li> </ul>
	NA/- NII - 11-1 II	was a requirement under GCC 19.1
2	M/s Nile Holding Africa Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidder was eliminated for failure to present similar experience in supply of pullovers. The</li> </ul>
	Affica Liu	experience presented was seen in stationery, tactical
		gaggles, ear muffs and burner stoves
		<ul> <li>Manufacturer's Authorization had clause 28 for</li> </ul>
		liquidated damages contrary to clause 29 which
		covers warranty and guarantee as a requirement in
		the solicitation document (see page 61 on GCC 29)
3	M/s Birya United	<ul> <li>PPDA certificate shows that provider is registered for</li> </ul>
	Agencies	food stuffs and beverages which is not relevant under this lot
		<ul> <li>The bidder was eliminated for not providing at least</li> </ul>
		two recommendation letters from the previous clients
		to show evidence of good performance
		<ul> <li>The bidders price schedule was contradicting that is,</li> </ul>
		that pull overs are from Uganda and Manufacturers
		Authorization says they are from China,
	NA/a NA ala awa	<ul> <li>No sample was submitted for verification as it was</li> </ul>
4	M/s Mahoro Investments	required under ITB 11(h)
<del>1</del>	Limited	<ul> <li>The bidder was also dropped for not providing a copy for Manufacturers Authorization for pullovers.</li> </ul>
	Limited	copy for Manaractarers Nathonization for panovers.
		<ul><li>The bidder was dropped for not submitting</li></ul>
		documents evidencing similar experience as it was
5	M/s Fine Spinner	required under ITB11(h)15 ( experience seen in t-
	(U) Ltd	shirts),  The hidder was eliminated for not submitting a copy
		<ul> <li>The bidder was eliminated for not submitting a copy powers of attorney</li> </ul>
		No similar experience in pullovers
		<ul> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in</li> </ul>
		terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.
9	M/s Merge (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>Credit facility was not specific on the amount it will finance the business.</li> </ul>
9		<ul> <li>The audited books of accounts were not certified.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>No recommendation letters from at least two</li> </ul>
		previous clients.
		■ PPDA Registration Certificate is in services not
10	M/s Capital	supplies which is not relevant to this procurement.
	Logistics Ltd	<ul> <li>The audit reports were not signed stamped, and not</li> </ul>
		on the Firms headed paper which makes the report
		unauthentic.

At technical stage			
2	M/s Alps (International) Exports Ltd	The bidder was eliminated from further evaluation for providing a pullover whose wool pills off which making it rough to the skin and unfit for use.	
Lot 2	2 : Bed Sheets	at Preliminary stage	
1	M/s Ariko Capital Purchases Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the bidding document.</li> <li>The PPDA Registration Certificate shows that the bidder is only registered for agriculture tools, cleaning materials, drugs, laboratory, office equipment and protective wear which are not relevant to bed sheets.</li> </ul>	
2	M/s Birya United Agencies	<ul> <li>The bidder was dropped for not submitting documents evidencing similar experience as it was required under ITB11(h)15</li> <li>PPDA certificate shows that provider is registered for food stuffs and beverages which is not relevant to bed sheets</li> <li>There were contradictions between the Price Schedule details on country of origin of items (Uganda) with actual Manufactures Authorization (showing Kenya) and therefore not considered for next stage of evaluation</li> </ul>	
3	M/s Crown Rockshield Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Powers of Attorney was not specific to this procurement. They were dated 12th March 2019 before the tender was advertised in April 18th 2019.</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> <li>Did not commit on 5 % performance security as it was a requirement under GCC 19.1</li> </ul>	
4	M/s Fine Spinner (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidder was dropped for not submitting documents to evidence similar experience as it was required under ITB11 (h) 15.</li> <li>The bidder was eliminated for not submitting a copy powers of attorney</li> </ul>	
	At Technical stage		
1	M/s Ariel Investments Lts	<ul> <li>The bidder was eliminated at this stage of evaluation for providing pieces of cloth instead of complete</li> </ul>	

		samples of two pairs of 5x6 and 3x6 of green and white bed sheet and a pair of pillow (see page 42 of the bidding document).
Lot	4 (Mosquito Nets) at	Preliminary Stage
1	M/s Real An Centre Ltd	<ul> <li>Did not submit a copy of PPDA Registration Certificate</li> <li>No letters of recommendations from previous clients as it was requested under ITB 11(h)16 page 24</li> </ul>
2	M/s Medilab (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidders audited books of accounts are not certified.</li> </ul>
3	M/s Vvsoal Investments Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no full address contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the bidding document</li> <li>No evidence of similar experience in mosquito nets, experience seen was in mama kits</li> <li>PPDA certificate was not for the relevant field.</li> </ul>
4	M/s Lily Benefits Investments Ltd	<ul> <li>Contradictions on the price schedule on the percentage that 100% China yet the bidder attached copies of evidence that goods are produced from Uganda</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in mosquito nets were seen.</li> </ul>
5	M/s fair Multipliers holdings ltd	<ul> <li>The bidder was also dropped for not providing a copy for Manufacturers Authorization for mosquito nets as a requirement under ITB 11(h) 13 and page 39 of the solicitation document</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in mosquito nets was seen</li> </ul>
6	M/s Buko (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidders copy of Manufacturer's Authorization was not properly filled as it was required as it was a requirement in the bidding document and did not indicate clause 29 (see page 36 of the solicitation document).</li> <li>The Powers of Attorney were for Lot 13 (fire extinguishers) yet the bidders price schedule was only for mosquito nets and magazine porches</li> </ul>
7	M/s Maks Logistics (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The Power of Attorney was general not specific to this tender was required</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in mosquito nets were seen.</li> <li>A copy of PPDA Registration Certificate not in relevant area</li> </ul>
8	M/s West harvest Stores	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in mosquito nets were seen.</li> <li>No Manufacturers Authorization for belts,</li> <li>PPDA certificate shows that provider is registered for food stuffs and beverages which is not relevant to this Lot</li> </ul>
9	M/s Ariko Capital Purchase Limited	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24</li> <li>The PPDA Registration Certificate shows that they are only registered for agriculture tools, cleaning materials, drugs, laboratory, and office equipment which was not relevant to this lot.</li> </ul>
		At Technical Stage
1	M/s Rima E.A Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidder was eliminated from this stage of evaluation because of failure to submit two samples of green and white mosquito nets as it was required in the solicitation document (see page 45)</li> </ul>
2	M/s Interhermisphere Logistics Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidder was dropped for the sample was found to be having a weak buckle and ring for holding a net, the sample was incomplete with only green net. (see page 45)</li> </ul>
Lot 6	6- Magazine Porches a	at Preliminary Stage
1	M/s IBS Motors (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing completion of work or delivery of goods in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> </ul>
2	M/s Buko U Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in magazine porches were seen.</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> </ul>

3	M/s Minga Supplies Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24.</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience were seen. The experience provided was for tents, handcuffs).</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> <li>And no recommendation letters from previous clients were seen.</li> </ul>	
4	M/s Tinasah Investments Limited	<ul> <li>Was dropped on Manufacture Authorization indicated clause 28 instead of clause 29 as it was required in the bidding document. (See page 61 under GCC 29)</li> <li>No recommendation letters from previous clients were seen.</li> </ul>	
5	M/s Amptop Technologies (1989) Ltd	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in waist belts. The experience seen was in liquid soap, disinfectant soap and protective wear.</li> <li>PPDA certificate shows that they are only registered for cleaning materials, building and construction, generators, security equipment not relevant to Magazine Porches.</li> </ul>	
6	M/s Kangaroo (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Powers of Attorney was general not specific to this procurement as it was a requirement in the bidding document</li> <li>The bidder did not provide a copy for Manufacturers Authorization or evidence of in house production for Magazine porches as required in the bidding document.</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in magazine porches were seen.</li> </ul>	
Lot 7	Lot 7. – Body Towels		
1	M/s Mmacks Investments Ltd	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in towel were seen. The experience seen was in pullovers, protective wear, expendables, under garments and aprons</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> </ul>	

		<ul> <li>No recommendation letters from at least two previous clients.</li> </ul>
2	M/s West Harvest Stores	<ul> <li>Did not have documents evidencing similar experience in towels</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> <li>Their PPDA certificate shows that they are only registered for food stuffs and beverages not relevant to towels.</li> <li>The bidder was eliminated for providing invalid Tax Clearance</li> <li>No sample for towel was provided as required in the bidding document</li> </ul>
3	M/s Ariko Capital Purchases Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24</li> <li>The PPDA Registration Certificate shows that they are only registered for agriculture tools, cleaning materials, drugs, laboratory, office equipment which is not relevant to towels.</li> </ul>
4	M/s Nisa Investments Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no physical address, contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in towels were seen. The experience provided was seen in flags, chevrons.</li> </ul>
5	M/s Rhino Investment Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no full address contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24</li> <li>The bidder did not sign the report of their financial accounts of 2018</li> </ul>
	At technical Stage	

1	M/s Fame Logistics Co. Limited	<ul> <li>The sample of the towel presented show that there is too much pilling of its fibers which make it less absorbent and not fit for use.</li> <li>The sample (towel) was not UPDF as per specification requirements.</li> </ul>	
2	M/s IBS Motors (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The sample of the towel provided has a width of 72cm as opposed to 80cms as required in the specifications</li> </ul>	
Lot 8	3 (Ear Muffs) at prelin	ninary stage	
1	M/s Vianey Investments Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidder submitted Powers of Attorney signed 6<sup>th</sup> March 2019 before the tender was advertised therefore they were not specific to this procurement as required in the bid documents.</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in ear muffs were seen. The experience seen was in posho, bricks, timber</li> <li>No recommendation letters from previous clients were attached in the bid document.</li> </ul>	
2	M/s Exclusive (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Power of Attorney presented were general not specific to this tender.</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in supply of ear muffs were seen.</li> <li>Manufacturer's Authorization had clause 28 for liquidated damages contrary to clause 29 which covers warranty and guarantee as a requirement in the solicitation document (see page 61 on GCC 29)</li> </ul>	
3	M/s Rima E.A Ltd	<ul> <li>The PPDA Registration Certificate was in Drug, laboratory, hospital equipment, pharmaceuticals products which not relevant to ear muffs.</li> </ul>	
		At technical Stage	
4	M/s Olifant (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The sample of the ear muff presented was not compliant to the specifications required</li> </ul>	
Lot 8	Lot 8 (Goggles) at preliminary stage		

1	M/s Prime Constructors	<ul> <li>Did not provide documents evidencing similar experience in gaggles. The experience seen was in posho.</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> <li>The bidder had contradictions on a copy of Manufacturers Authorization saying gaggles origin is UAE (Dubia) while price schedule mentions gaggles are got from China</li> </ul>
2	M/s Consolidated Contractors	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in gaggles were seen. The experience seen was in posho.</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> <li>Bank credit facility not specific on the amount (disclaimer)</li> </ul>
3	M/s Renamu Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidders copy of tax clearance was invalid</li> <li>The copy of Trading was expired was for Dec 2018</li> <li>The copy of Powers of Attorney was general not specific to this procurement</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in gaggles were seen.</li> <li>No recommendation letters from previous clients were seen in the bidder's document.</li> </ul>
4	M/s Josta Enterprises Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes.</li> </ul>
5	M/s Merge (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in gaggles were seen.</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes</li> <li>The bidder did not submit Manufacturers Authorization for goggles as required.</li> <li>The bank did commit its self the amount of money to finance the business.</li> <li>Audited books of accounts were not signed.</li> </ul>
6	M/s Nile Holdings Africa Ltd	<ul> <li>A copy of Manufacture Authorization submitted had a wrong clause of 28 which talks about liquidated damages instead of clause 29 of warrant and</li> </ul>

		guarantee (see page 61 on GCC 29)					
7	M/s Ragwa Construction	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in goggles were seen. The experience seen was in heavy trucks, motor cycles</li> <li>There were contradictions in the price schedule showing goods origin is Kenya and the Manufacturer Authorization is from UAE (Dubai</li> </ul>					
Lot 1	Lot 10(First Kits) at Preliminary Stage						
1	M/s Real An Centre Ltd	<ul> <li>Did not submit a copy of PPDA Registration Certificate</li> <li>No letters of recommendations from previous clients as it was required under ITB 11(h)16 page 24</li> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no full address contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) 13 page 24</li> </ul>					
2	M/s Benis Limited	<ul> <li>The PPDA Registration Certificate was not relevant to first Aid kits.</li> </ul>					
3	M/s Josta Enterprises Ltd	<ul> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes</li> </ul>					
4	M/s Consolidated Contractors	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in first aid kits were seen. The experience was seen in posho</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes</li> </ul>					
5	M/s Nisa Investment Ltd	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in first Aid kits were seen. The experience was seen national flags and chevrons</li> <li>Provided a bank statement instead of bank guarantee as required in the bidding document.</li> <li>The price schedule of first aid kits on the country of origin is China which contradicts with Manufacturers Authorization is for India</li> </ul>					
6	M/s Nile Holdings Africa Itd	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in kits were seen.</li> </ul>					

		<ul> <li>A copy of Manufacture Authorization submitted had a wrong clause of 28 which talks about liquidated damages instead of clause 29 of warrant and guarantee (see page 61 on GCC 29)</li> </ul>			
7	M/s Milpack	<ul> <li>The Powers of Attorney was not specific to this procurement as it was a requirement, there Powers of Attorney was with reference MD/SUPLS/18-19/00043 of 2018 (Procurement of Laboratory Consumables)</li> <li>No bank credit facility was provided as required in the bidding document</li> <li>Audited books are up to 31 Dec 2016</li> </ul>			
8	M/s Amptop Technologies (1989) Ltd	<ul> <li>No documents evidencing of experience in First Aid Kits were seen. The experience was seen in liquid soap, disinfectant soap and protective wear)</li> <li>The copy of Manufacturer's Authorization submitted had no contacts in terms of emails, telephone contacts as per a requirement in the solicitation document under ITB 11 (h) page 24</li> </ul>			
9	Lot11 -Water Tanks at Preliminary Stage				
1	M/s Fame Logistics Ltd	<ul> <li>No bid security was provided for tanks</li> <li>The bidder was eliminated for not providing the Manufacturers Authorization for water tanks</li> <li>No documents evidencing similar experience in water tanks were seen.</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes</li> </ul>			
10	Lot 12-G-1098 At Preliminary Stage				
1	M/s flyton (U) Ltd	<ul> <li>The price schedule of first aid kits on the country of origin is Kenya which contradicts with Manufacturers Authorization is for Uganda.</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes</li> </ul>			
2	M/s Maks Logistics	The bidders copy of Powers of Attorney given was general not specific as it was required in the bidding document.			
3	M/s Dumark Enterprises	<ul> <li>Did not have copy Manufacturers Authorization for this lot</li> </ul>			

4	M/s Pamoja Logistics Ltd	<ul> <li>The bidder did not provide a credit facility as required in the bidding process.</li> <li>No proof that goods were delivered and received in terms of delivery notes or goods received notes</li> </ul>				
5	M/s Gemco	<ul> <li>The powers of attorney were not specific to this tender.</li> <li>The Manufactures authorization was not specific on what the manufacturer produces.</li> </ul>				
Lot 13 (Fire Extinguishers & Refilling)						
1	M/s Interhemisphere Logistics Ltd	A copy of Manufacture Authorization for fire extinguishers submitted had a wrong clause of 28 which talks about liquidated damages instead of clause 29 of warrant and guarantee (see page 61 on GCC 29)				

Display of this Notice does not constitute an acceptance of the bid described above or the formation of a contract.

The Procuring and Disposing Entity shall not sign a contract during a period of ten days from the date of the notice. Formation of contract shall be in accordance with the PPDA (Contracts) Regulations, 2014.

Authorised for display on Procurement and Disposal Notice Board:

Signature:			Name:	I. Kyaligonza (DBA)
Position:	Head PDU		Date:	19th August, 2019